



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,762	08/09/2001	Yasutaka Nishida	ASAM.0017	4325
38327	7590 02/17/2005		EXAM	INER
REED SMITH LLP			RODRIGUEZ, GLENDA P	
3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2651	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		L A It At At				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/924,762	NISHIDA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Glenda P. Rodriguez	2651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the read patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 October 2004.	•			
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	, -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 13-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by othe drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business of the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-692) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/924,762

Art Unit: 2651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, 14, 15, 17, 22-25 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al. (US Patent No. 6, 731, 446) in view of Cheung (US Patent No. 6, 025, 970).

Regarding Claims 22 and 24, Ikeda et al. teach a disk drive wherein:

A magnetic head for recording and reproducing information (Pat. No. 6, 731, 446; Col. 1, L. 48-50), and

A perpendicular magnetic recording medium having perpendicular magnetic recording layer (Pat. No. 6, 731, 446; Col. 1, L. 50-65), and

A soft magnetic underlayer (Pat. 6, 731, 446; Col. 2, L. 63 to Col. 3, L. 9, Col. 3, L. 44-55, Col. 31, L. 38-54. Ikeda et al. teaches a soft magnetic layer placed under the recording layer used to control magnetic flux transitions when recording a pattern (i.e., DC magnetization), according the Applicants description of their "soft magnetic underlayer" as Specified in the Applicant's Specification in Page 8, L. 4-20 (the Applicant does not explicitly teach the particular and/or specific location of the magnetic underlayer, therefore the Examiner assumes that the soft

Application/Control Number: 09/924,762

Page 3

magnetic underlayer is just a soft magnetic layer located under the recording layer

wherein a DC magnetization (i.e. magnetic pattern) is recorded thereto.).),

Said perpendicular recording layer having a burst area (Pat. No. 6, 731, 446; Col.

47, L. 11-17. Ikeda et al. teach that the medium has servo bursts recorded therein

by the magnetic head. It is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to know

that the signals recorded by the disk are recorded within the layers on the disk.),

Ikeda et al. fail to teach wherein the first area is a burst area and the second area is the dummy

area and that the frequency of the dummy area being higher than the frequency of the burst area.

However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Cheung, wherein it teaches the

recording of a first area and a second area (i.e. dummy area) wherein the frequency of the burst

area is lower than the frequency of the second area (Pat. No. 6, 025, 970; Col. 4, L. 66 to Col. 5,

L. 27. Cheung teaches two areas in the servo field being recorded at different frequencies. It is

obvious to an artisan that if the frequencies are different, one frequency has to be lower and the

other frequency has to be higher). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Ikeda et al.'s invention in order to control the

movement of the actuator (Col. 2, L. 55 – Col. 3, L. 9).

Regarding Claims 13, 15, 27 and 29, Ikeda et al. teaches a disk drive, comprising:

A magnetic head for recording and reproducing information (Pat. No. 6, 731, 446;

Col. 1, L. 48-50), and

A perpendicular magnetic recording medium having perpendicular magnetic

recording layer (Pat. No. 6, 731, 446; Col. 1, L. 50-65),

Art Unit: 2651

A soft magnetic underlayer (Pat. 6, 731, 446; Col. 2, L. 63 to Col. 3, L. 9, Col. 3, L. 44-55, Col. 31, L. 38-54. Ikeda et al. teaches a soft magnetic layer placed under the recording layer used to control magnetic flux transitions when recording a pattern (i.e., DC magnetization), according the Applicants description of their "soft magnetic underlayer" as Specified in the Applicant's Specification in Page 8, L. 4-20 (the Applicant does not explicitly teach the particular and/or specific location of the magnetic underlayer, therefore the Examiner assumes that the soft magnetic underlayer is just a soft magnetic layer located under the recording layer wherein a DC magnetization (i.e. magnetic pattern) is recorded thereto.)),

Said perpendicular recording layer having a burst area (Pat. No. 6, 731, 446; Col.

47, L. 11-17. Ikeda et al. teach that the medium has servo bursts recorded therein by the magnetic head. It is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to know that the signals recorded by the disk are recorded within the layers on the disk.),

Ikeda et al. fail to teach wherein the first area is a burst area and the second area is the dummy area and that the recording density of the dummy area being higher than the recording density of the burst area. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Cheung, wherein it teaches a first area being a burst area and a second area (i.e. dummy area) Ikeda et al. fail to teach wherein the first area is a burst area and the second area is the dummy area and that the frequency of the dummy area being higher than the frequency of the burst area. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Cheung, wherein it teaches the recording of a first area and a second area (i.e. dummy area) wherein the frequency of the burst area is lower than the frequency of the second area (Pat. No. 6, 025, 970; Col. 4, L. 66 to Col. 5, L. 27.

Art Unit: 2651

Cheung teaches two areas in the servo field being recorded at different frequencies. It is obvious to an artisan that if the frequencies are different, one frequency has to be lower and the other frequency has to be higher. It is of obvious knowledge to an artisan in the art that the higher frequency will have a higher recording density (and a shorter bit length, because the higher the frequency, the more bits you want to write with a limited space) than the lower frequency.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Ikeda et al.'s invention in order to control the movement of the actuator (Col. 2, L. 55 – Col. 3, L. 9).

Regarding Claims 14, 17, 23, 25, 28 and 30, Ikeda et al. and Cheung teach all the limitations of Claims 13, 15, 22, 24, 28, and 30, respectively. Cheung further teach wherein the recording medium has a response to DC magnetization (Pat. No. 6, 025, 970; Col. 4, L. 18-28. Cheung teaches that the DC signals can also be used to determine the relative location of the head with respect to the medium.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Ikeda et al.'s invention in order to control the movement of the actuator (Pat. No. 6, 025, 970; Col. 2, L. 55 – Col. 3, L. 9).

Regarding Claims 18-21, Ikeda et al. and Cheung teach all the limitations of Claims 13, 14, 18 and 19, respectively. Cheung further teaches wherein the user data has a bit length greater or equal to the burst signal (Pat. No. 6, 025, 970; Col. 4, L. 18-28. Cheung teaches that the DC signals can also be used to determine the relative location of the head with respect to the medium. Therefore, the user data has greater bit length than the DC burst data due to the fact that the DC area has no bits therein.)

Art Unit: 2651

Claims 26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al. and Cheung as applied to claims 24 and 29, respectively above, and further in view of Sacks (US Patent No. 6, 490, 111). Ikeda et al. and Cheung teach all the limitations of Claims 24 and 29, respectively. Ikeda et al. and Cheung fail to teach wherein a controller which extracts the burst signal from the burst area. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Sacks, wherein it teaches a controller that measures the amplitudes of the burst signals in order to refresh the burst magnetization in the disk (Pat. No. 6, 490, 111; Col. 2, L. 47-57 and Col. 5, L. 5-23). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Ikeda et al. and Cheung's invention in order to refresh the burst magnetization in the disk and prevent thermal decay of the burst signal.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants amended independent Claims 13, 15, 22, 24, 27, and 29 to add the feature "a soft magnetic underlayer" to differentiate the Claimed invention with the references Ikeda et al. and Cheung. However, Examiner cannot concur with the Applicant because the Applicant does disclose a soft magnetic underlayer, but it does not disclose the particular layering of the disk. The Applicant mentions that the soft magnetic underlayer is used for DC magnetization (See Specification Page 8, L. 4-20. The Examiner proceeded to consider the "soft magnetic underlayer" as a soft magnetic layer located under the recording layer wherein a DC magnetization (i.e. magnetic pattern) is recorded thereto.). Ikeda et al. discloses a soft magnetic layer which is under the recording layer in which used to control magnetic flux transitions when

Application/Control Number: 09/924,762

Art Unit: 2651

recording a pattern (i.e., DC magnetization) (Pat. 6, 731, 446; Col. 2, L. 63 to Col. 3, L. 9, Col. 3,

Page 7

L. 44-55, Col. 31, L. 38-54).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Glenda P. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 305-8411.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 7:00-5:00; alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).